Chertestown Many Aprilsoss Dem Ju I have just us of letter of the 20 oust: eur hasten to vay that I very much regret that there should be any difference of openine between y seef . D' Forry on the one hand and Cap! Wilkes on the other and Itell mue that there she be any deference of Spinion. I am not some that I thomasky conventand the points of difference. Indeed I Supposed that there was only one - which I proposed to State -The library combre thought that we the botament miles a ender in all the baker on haterral hesting it was desirable, heredes describing the objects in latin the language of Serenie & a that Lense universal, then Should be descriptures in Euglish. Don addition to the reasons which Cap' wilhes has veggested the comme Thinghof this mue likely to secure the continuous of the farm of congress where the publications of the ex. ex pedetion have been much speriod - many of the member

dejecting to the Sheetly Scientific character of the mily and desiring to see there more popularized by such descriptions as might be more generally understood - the con " in cleasing that there should be in English as well as in Later has not the lent edea hat they were likely to came any discontent still less to disturb the fulings of respect desire to maintain towards allo Connected with the preparation of the notes of the expliring experition. I cannot but regret that the Lebraing Come had not been addreped diretty in the Subject as som as the difficulty armse. They do not profess the learned men and units have here gente willing to be added by gentlemen for whom they have to much respect as grunel and & Toney and Jan my certum that they would have conducted the conspensance in their hast nith every region to to give character buliage a rights - I do not feel at liberty to reverse the decision of the Commit and they cumit act thing the recep of engress. But I Suppose that now of the

there is not there for each corner fund cono between as as may obsiste further difficulty. Pray inform we if there is an ensuperable objection to the English descriptions which if the only interest of deference as i'm mountain and for they-

Paron me for saying that I suppose Call! Willes Who is somewhat impetures mus moved by the term "silly" which he unsention in your letter to & I'mey own applied to the decision of the come made I hebene upon consultation with heri.

rocky with me and that you withher affected that the library course have every deside to arrange all the lusiness of the publications so as to satisfy the country and make your lubrum aqueable.

Dey Resy Soi 3 AS'. St.

Afrans
ch: f. cm Islany
f congress

De Gruy

Ken, near London, July 15, 1837. My Dear Sir Your kind and consteans letter of the 30 April bears the post-mark of Chesterlown, M. June 25th," and there fore only reached me a few days ago. In availing myself of the privilege of corresponding treetly with yourself, I have only to say, at present, which I so with much satisfaction, that your statement of the views of the Library Committee, in respect to the natural history publications of the Exploring Exportion accords entirely with my own iteas of what would be most proper, and is in all respects satisfactory; namely, that besides describing the objects in Latin, the language of science and in that perfect uni-Versal, there should be descriptions in English I would have the brief technical character of each described species followed by a detailed description in English, and give the locality observations, general somarks, Is in the same

language. At least, this seems the most desire ble method under the circumstances. And such English descriptions will take a formall the more popular from their not being a lite eral translation of the Latin diagnostica exertial Character, which is always expres-Sed as succinctly and tensely as promible.
What I bjected to was, the printing of the very same statement trice over, totidem Verbis, first in botanical Latin, and then in botalical English, no less technical and scarcely more intelligible to the general reader, and of no use at all to the haturalist, This seems to me not only a violation of good and taste as well as a medless expensely, but also to stand in the way of casting the descriptive matter into as propular a form as it might Ahavin take without detriment to its scien: tifice character. If it he said that there should fall be a translation of every specific character besides a detailed description in English, I reply that it sums to me still more Sjectionable to Day the same thing three times over, once in Later, and tince in English, I have no Spection to take case that

the English description shall contain all that

is in the Latin (while arrivally it will comprise much brides), but I do think it had to be tred up to Day it exactly in the same form, neither more nor less, as I was given to understand baft. Wilkes required. I imagine however, that Dr. Gonds, in the brichology, now printing (though I have not sien any of the printer sheets) has followed a method perfectly consmant with the views of the Liliary trumitee as I gather them I'm your letter, and that the difficulty which weder led to my addressing you in my letter of the 2nd April, that arises from was when and two marrows a construction having bun a married on by bapt. Mikes I may alt that, & in my hurned and unstudied letter to Dr. Formy, I was referring to must have thought it silly in me to Iv, and that I had no thought of giving umbrage to

must have thought it silly in me to Iv, and that I had no thought of giving umbrage to to Apply the leave to the term either to the decision of the Library tominitue wto him. I am glad to leave from you that more of the botanical portions of the proof are likely to go to prop immediately: for, or my return to burnling, at the end of strend

about the first of Softenber ensuing, there are swerd meather of detail which should be the pres, with many that for the kind, expression in your lever, I have the honor to be My Dear fir Respectfully and truly Your Obot faithful We are the second Asabray) of a second 1. The Hor f. A. Pearce of the Library bournitue of borgers.

Dem Su In sell parter me I hope for delaying to capty log letter of Juny . In letrang and other business orenty to much lind that I fruit it difficult to hay frife attention to many things which eight to be printly considered. If muto herhaps be well I fin a? Send me a portion of of manuscript for the committees examination. I am may some that it will be entirely Salistactory, and Thope that in a little white we may hat it to prep. Certainly i Suppose we can do to as town as elli Danis buch is completely hunter all our work, hetati copies of theles Shilalogy & Ethat! Danas Les burning of the congress! Library They Resy my dress on The tes a Sechenies races of men were destroyed on the at Rang De har

Washington Fel 9.1532